





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/017,490	12/14/2001	Daniel P. Segall	6308	5635	
75	90 12/26/2002				
Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens LLP			EXAMINER		
Suite 3300 225 Franklin Street			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, CHAU N	
Boston, MA 02	2110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2831	<del></del>	

DATE MAILED: 12/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\frown$	De				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
_	10/017,490	SEGALL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chau N Nguyen	2831					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a sly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely  DNTHS from the mailing date of this considered timely  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-15 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 6-8</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n neigritu under 35 H.C.C.	C 440(a) (d) a= (5)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No      Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.							
application from the International Bu  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _</li> </ol>	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( f Informal Patent Application (PTO					



Art Unit: 2831

1.

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 1-12 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors

in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without

traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the feature of the conductive layer adhered to the upper surface of the first film by means of a second adhesive as claimed in claim 4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.



Art Unit: 2831

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11, lines 4 and 5, the limitations in the parentheses are unclear to whether they are positively claimed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 9, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bow (4,125,739).

Bow discloses an electrically conductive multilayer composite (Fig. 2) comprising first (24) and second (28) polymeric films, each of the films being flexible and having upper and lower surfaces, the second film being

Application/Control Number: 10/017,490

Art Unit: 2831

thermoformable at temperature at and above its glass transition temperature, a flexible electrically conductive layer (12) applied to the upper surface of the first film, and an adhesive interlayer (26) having elastic properties sufficient to accommodate relative movement between the adhered films occasioned by flexure of the composite. Bow also discloses the second film being resilient (re claim 2), the conductive layer being aluminum (re claim 9), having a thickness of less than 20 mils (re claim 10) and being interfacially fixed with respect to the upper surface of the first film (re claim 12).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

  Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor



Art Unit: 2831

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 3, 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bow.

Bow discloses the invention as claimed except for the adhesive interlayer having elastic properties at temperature between about -50 to 150 degrees C and being a pressure sensitive adhesive, and the dielectric films polyamides or polyurethanes.

Although not disclosed by Bow, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to choose suitable elastic properties for the adhesive interlayer of Bow to meet the specific use of the resulting composite since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

It would also have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use pressure sensitive for the adhesive interlayer and polyurethanes for the dielectric films in

Application/Control Number: 10/017,490

Art Unit: 2831

. the composite of Bow since pressure sensitive and polyurethanes are both known materials for being used as adhesive layer and dielectric material, respectively.

# Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 4 and 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Cited Art

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Walton, Saito and Van Deusen disclose composite multilayer structure.

### Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N Nguyen whose telephone number is 308-0693. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (703) 308 3682. The fax

 $\bigcirc$ 

Application/Control Number: 10/017,490

Art Unit: 2831

Page 7

. phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308 3431 for regular communications and (703) 305 1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Chau N Nguyen Primary Examiner

Chaufgun

Art Unit 2831

CN

December 19, 2002